``	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/936,817	DRUITT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LIEN TM NGO	3727
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>LIEN_TM NGO</u> .	(3)	
(2) Edward Wise (attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
•		
Prior art documents discussed:		
D4 II		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
July,		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Wise called to have an attention with examiner that the examiner's amendment dated 3/11/04 have a mistake, in which in claim 1, line 28, "the end of" should be changed to -- the free end of --. Examiner agreed to sent a supplemental examiner's amendment to make the correction. By the way, another mistake occurs in the examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: claims 1-10, 12, 13, 16-23. 25, 26 and 29 are allowed, but it is not 1-13, 16-23, 25, 26 and 29 because claim 11 has been canceled.